Examiner-Initiat d Intervi w Summary	Applicati n No.	Applicant(s)
	10/001,412	HERBERT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	VINH P NGUYEN	2829
All Participants:	Status of Applicati n:	
(1) <u>VINH P NGUYEN</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Pienkos.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 May 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	•	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 42-43,48		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sep did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief set 	on. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview
	•	
		0:
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Applicant's Representativ	ve Signature – ir appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner discussed with Mr. Pienkos about making some changes in claim 43 for improving the clarity (see Examioner's amendment). Examiner also questioned Mr. Pienko about the terms "expansion element" and "intermediate arms". According to Mr. Pienko, these terms are referred to element "54" shown in the drawings.